UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

PAUL CROCE,)	CASE NO. 1:15-cv-1758
)	
PETITIONER,)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
vs.)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
v3.)	WENTOR INDOM OF INTOM
MICHELLE MILLER, Warden,)	
)	
RESPONDENT.)	

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge with respect to the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus. The Magistrate Judge recommends dismissal of the petition in its entirety.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C):

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. [...]

The R&R was filed on July 12, 2017 (Doc. No. 20), and was sent to petitioner that same day by regular mail. As of the date of this order, no objections have been filed, no extension has been requested, and no mail has been returned as undeliverable.

The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a de novo determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and accepts the same. Accordingly, the Court denies the petition in its entirety. Further, the Court certifies that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(a)(3), 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 7, 2017

HONORÁBLE SARA LIOI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE